# Effect of Carvedilol and Nebivolol on Oxidative Stress-related Parameters and Endothelial Function in Patients with Essential Hypertension

Ramiro J. Zepeda<sup>1</sup>, Rodrigo Castillo<sup>2</sup>, Ramón Rodrigo<sup>1</sup>, Juan C. Prieto<sup>1,3</sup>, Ivonne Aramburu<sup>3</sup>, Solange Brugere<sup>3</sup>, Katia Galdames<sup>3</sup>, Viviana Noriega<sup>3,4</sup> and Hugo F. Miranda<sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Molecular and Clinical Pharmacology Program, Faculty of Medicine, Biomedical Sciences Institute, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile, <sup>2</sup>Pathophysiology Program, Faculty of Medicine, Biomedical Sciences Institute, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile, <sup>3</sup>Cardiovascular Department, Clinical Hospital, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile, and <sup>4</sup>Faculty of Medicine, Pharmacy School, Universidad Andres Bello, Santiago, Chile

(Received 3 October 2011; Accepted 14 May 2012)

Abstract: Oxidative stress and endothelial dysfunction have been associated with essential hypertension (EH) mechanisms. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of carvedilol and nebivolol on the oxidative stress-related parameters and endothelial function in patients with EH. The studied population included 57 patients, either sex, between 30 and 75 years of age, with mild-to-moderate EH complications. Participants were randomized to receive either carvedilol (12.5 mg) (n = 23) or nebivolol (5 mg) (n = 21) for 12 weeks. Measurements included; 24-hr ambulatory blood pressure (BP), flow-mediated dilatation, levels of nitric oxide estimated as nitrite - a nitric oxide metabolite (NO2) - in plasma, and oxidative stress-related parameters in plasma and erythrocyte. EH patients who were treated with nebivolol or carvedilol showed systolic BP reductions of 17.4 and 19.9 mmHg, respectively, compared with baseline values (p < 0.01). Diastolic BP was reduced by 13.7 and 12.8 mmHg after the treatment with ebivolol and carvedilol, respectively (p < 0.01) (fig. 2B). Nebivolol and carvedilol showed 7.3% and 8.1% higher endothelium-dependent dilatation in relation to baseline values (p < 0.05). Ferric-reducing ability of plasma (FRAP) and reduced glutathione/oxidized glutathione (GSSH) ratio showed 31.5% and 29.6% higher levels in the carvedilol group compared with basal values; however, nebivolol-treated patients did not show significant differences after treatment. On the other hand, the NO<sub>2</sub> plasma concentration was not modified by the administration of carvedilol. However, nebivolol enhanced these levels in 62.1% after the treatment. In conclusion, this study demonstrated the antihypertensive effect of both beta-blockers. However, carvedilol could mediate these effects by an increase in antioxidant capacity and nebivolol through the raise in NO2 concentration. Further studies are needed to determine the molecular mechanism of these effects.

Essential hypertension (EH) is a major risk factor for mortality owing to cardiovascular diseases [1]. The multifactorial aetiology of arterial hypertension involves various pathogenic factors determining different therapeutic approaches [2]. In previous years, mechanistic emphasis has been focused on the role of endothelium in the pathophysiology of EH [3]. Endothelial function becomes progressively impaired as blood pressure (BP) increases and the degree of dysfunction is related to the severity of hypertension [4]. Endothelial dysfunction may be determined by genetic factors and partially by the endothelial damage secondary to elevated BP [5,6]. However, several experimental and clinical trials have generated conflicting results concerning the association between risk factors and endothelial function [7,8]. At this point, a better understanding of mechanisms involving inflammation, endothelial dysfunction, sympathetic nerve activation and oxidative stress may allow identification of patients with refractory hypertension [9].

Nitric oxide is a molecule that has been recognized as a crucial modulator of vascular disease. It has a number of

intracellular effects that lead to vasorelaxation, endothelial regeneration, inhibition of leucocyte chemotaxis and platelet adhesion [10]. Endothelial dysfunction – which is characterized by impairment of nitric oxide bioavailability – plays an important role in the development of arterial hypertension. Nitric oxide maintains the blood flow, thus relaxing the vascular smooth muscle and regulating oxygen supply to tissues [11,12]. Oxidative stress is involved in the pathophysiology of many cardiovascular conditions, including hypertension. Moreover, oxidative stress may account for endothelial dysfunction, but it is unknown whether this abnormality is a primary event or a consequence of increased BP [13].

Two  $\beta$ -adrenergic blocker agents have been used for the pharmacological treatment of hypertension, nebivolol and carvedilol. Firstly, nebivolol is a third-generation  $\beta$ -adrenergic blocker with pronounced vasodilator properties [14]. Nebivolol has demonstrated antihypertensive efficacy that is comparable with other  $\beta$ -blockers but with more favourable safety and tolerability profiles [15]. Nebivolol received approval for the treatment of hypertension in December 2007 in the United States, and is used in 50 other countries outside North America. A long-term, open-label study was performed outside the United States in patients with stage I to II hypertension. In this trial, nebivolol proved to be safe and effective, with BP-lowering

Author for correspondence: Ramiro J. Zepeda, Molecular and Clinical Pharmacology Program, Biomedical Sciences Institute, Universidad de Chile, Independencia 1027, Casilla 70058, Santiago 7, Chile (fax 56 2 9786126, e-mail rzepeda@ciq.uchile.cl).

effects increasing over time [16,17]. Secondly, carvedilol, unlike nebivolol, is a non-selective  $\alpha$ -adrenergic receptor antagonist with  $\beta$ -1 blocking properties. Nevertheless, similar to nebivolol, carvedilol attenuates endothelial dysfunction in experimental models as well as in patients with coronary disease [18,19]. Antioxidant properties of carvedilol include the reduction in reactive oxygen species (ROS) formation *in vitro* by intracellular sources such as mitochondria, even after a short-term treatment with a relatively small dose [20].

Recent evidence suggests that nebivolol has a vasodilator effect not related to alpha receptor antagonism; this property is associated with higher clinical efficacy than that of other betaadrenoceptor antagonists [21, 22]. However, the association between endothelium-dependent effects and BP reduction is not well understood.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of carvedilol and nebivolol on the oxidative stress-related parameters and endothelial function in patients with EH.

### **Materials and Methods**

Study design and patient selection. The protocol consisted of a prospective randomized, single blind, clinical trial, conducted in patients with uncomplicated mild-to-moderate EH (defined as diastolic BP < 110 mmHg and systolic < 180 mmHg in absence of therapy). This study was approved by the local Ethics Committee (Clinical Hospital, Universidad de Chile). The study included 57 male and female patients, ages ranging between 30 and 75 years, with normal left ventricular systolic function (defined as an ejection fraction of 50% by echocardiography) and naive to pharmacological hypertensive treatment (fig.1). The enrolment of patients took place at the Cardiovascular Department, Clinical Hospital of the Universidad de Chile, between August 2010 and January 2011. Exclusion criteria included inappropriate echocardiographic window, diastolic BP above 110 mmHg or systolic BP above 180 mm Hg, secondary hypertension, signs or symptoms of heart failure, coronary artery disease, valvular heart disease, atrial fibrillation or any other arrhythmia, atrioventricular conduction abnormality, sinus bradycardia (50 beats/min.), renal failure, systemic connective tissue disease, cancer, acute or chronic inflammatory disease, history of stroke or transient ischaemic attack, known carotid artery stenosis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, hepatic insufficiency (serum aminotransferases more than twice the upper limit of normal), history of migraine or frequent headaches, intolerance to  $\beta\text{-blocking}$  agents, treatment with vasoactive drugs, and any other reason judged by the investigator to hamper inclusion. Demographic data, medical history and physical examination were obtained at the screening visit (-2 weeks). Treatment was assigned on visit 2 (week 0).

Patients were treated with a daily dose of carvedilol (12.5 mg) (Roche Lab, Santiago, Chile) or nebivolol (5 mg) (Menarini Labs., Florence, Italy). Randomization was carried out centrally and was non-stratified, block based and computer generated. Carvedilol or nebivolol treatment was maintained during 12 weeks. Dietary and physical activity recommendations were not modified during the study.

Ambulatory blood pressure monitoring. Blood pressure was measured by ambulatory BP monitoring on a normal workday (during 24-hr period starting at 08:30 a.m.) using an oscillometric monitor (SpaceLabs 90207, Issaquah, WA, USA) previously checked for accuracy with simultaneous measurements by a mercury sphygmomanometer. This device meets the validation criteria of the British Hypertension Society protocol [23] and fulfils the criteria of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation (AAMI) for studies in ambulatory conditions [24]. The oscillometric accuracy showed intraarterial average differences for systolic BP and diastolic BP ( $-0.6 \pm$  5.9 mmHg and 0.9  $\pm$  6.4 mmHg) within the AAMI accuracy standard. The estimated oscillometric reproducibility was  $-0.3 \pm 3.2$  mmHg for systolic BP and 0.1  $\pm$  3.5 mmHg for diastolic BP (values are means  $\pm$  S.D.). An adult cuff and a large adult cuff were used for arm circumferences of 24–31 cm and 32–42 cm, respectively.

Flow-mediated dilatation. Endothelial function was evaluated by measuring the flow-mediated dilatation (FMD) [25]. B-mode scans of the right brachial artery (BA) were obtained in a longitudinal section 5 -10 cm above the elbow, by the same operator, using a 7.5-MHz linear array transducer that was held at the same point throughout the scan by a stereo-tactic clamp. Simultaneous electrocardiographic recordings were obtained and displayed on the ultrasound system video monitor (Philips, Eindhoven, the Netherlands). Imaging studies were performed using lower-arm occlusion technique. After 1 min. of acquisition (basal diameter), a BP cuff was inflated 50 mmHg above the systolic pressure for 5 min. After deflation, the BA diameter was measured (endothelium-dependent dilatation). A repeat baseline scan was obtained after a 15-min. rest period. Endothelium-independent dilatation was achieved by the administration of sublingual glyceryl trinitrate (0.3 mg). FMD and glyceryl trinitrate-induced dilatations were defined as the maximal per cent change in BA diameter compared with baseline. Computer-assisted edge detection brachial analysis software (Medical Imaging Applications, Coralville, IA, USA) was used to calculate BA diameters. The variability of FMD was calculated in healthy individuals 12 weeks after baseline determinations. The variability was 11% with a mean difference of 0.7% between the two measurements. Power analysis indicated that, assuming a two-tailed 5% test, the sample size was sufficient to detect a 1.5% improvement in FMD after therapy with 80% power.

Echocardiographic determinations. Bi-dimensional, M-mode and Doppler echocardiographic tests were performed using standard recording methodology and measurements in all patients, according to recommendations of the American Society of Echocardiography, using a Hewlett-Packard Sonos 2500 echocardiographic device (Andover, MA, USA) and a 2.5- or 2.0-MHz wide-angle phased-array transducer [26]. Data were analysed as the mean of three cardiac cycles. Left ventricular volumes were measured from the apical 4- and 2-chamber views of the 2-dimensional echocardiogram, and LVEF was calculated using the Simpson rule algorithm. Data were analysed as the mean of three cardiac cycles. Measurements were performed by two independent investigators and all the studies were recorded on videotape. Intraobserver and interobserver reproducibility for LVEF in our laboratory were 93.8% and 90.5%, respectively, whereas the agreement between the two observers concerning the classification of diastolic filling pattern was 100%.

Blood samples. Two blood samples were obtained from each patient by antecubital venous extraction. Samples were drawn at the moment of enrolment (day 0) and 12 weeks under nebivolol or carvedilol administration. The samples were collected in chilled vacutainers containing 4 mM disodium EDTA and centrifuged at  $3000 \times g$  for 10 min. to separate plasma from figurate elements. Red blood cells were washed with saline solution and then subjected to hypotonic haemolysis by dilution with distilled water. Plasma and red blood cell lysates were stored at  $-70^{\circ}$ C, until performing the biochemical analyses.

*Estimation of nitric oxide concentration.* The level of nitric oxide was estimated as nitrite, a nitric oxide metabolite, measuring transformation of nitrate  $(NO_3)$  to nitrite  $(NO_2)$  using Griess reaction [27]. Total



Fig. 1. Flow chart of patients screened and enrolled.

transformed NO<sub>2</sub> was then detected spectrophotometrically. Tolerance limits of interfering species were established at concentrations not causing more than  $\pm$  5% error in absorbance values of nitrite (2 µg/mL) and nitrate (2.6 µg/mL) with fixed concentration.

Assessment of oxidative stress-related parameters. Plasma antioxidant status. Plasma antioxidant status was assessed by determination of ferric-reducing ability of plasma (FRAP) with a detection limit of 10  $\mu$ M [28]. The inter-assay and intra-assay coefficients of variation (CVs) for FRAP were 3.0% and 1.0%, respectively.

*Glutathione in erythrocytes.* Reduced glutathione (GSH) and oxidized glutathione (GSSG) levels were assayed by fluorometry in erythrocytes and the GSH/GSSG ratio was calculated as a parameter of intracellular redox status [29]. The inter- and intra-assay CVs for GSH and GSSG were 3.1% and 4.2%; and 2.7% and 3.5%, respectively.

*Lipid peroxidation.* Erythrocyte lipid peroxidation was assessed by thiobarbituric acid reaction at pH 3.5, followed by solvent extraction with a mixture of n-butanol/pyridine (15:1, v/v) [30]. Tetramethoxypropane was used as the external standard, and the levels of lipid peroxides were detected spectrophotometrically at 532 nm and were expressed as mmol malondialdehyde (MDA)/g of Hb. The inter-assay and intra-assay CVs for MDA were 10.5% and 4.8%, respectively.

Plasma 8-isoprostane concentration (pmol/mL), recognized as a reliable biomarker of lipid peroxidation *in vivo* [31], was determined using an ELISA kit (Cayman, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) [30]. The interand intra-assay CVs were 9.5% and 10.7%, respectively.

*Normotensive group.* Thirty normotensive patients who participated in a previous clinical study, and comparable with EH patients in demographic data, were used as a reference group for the determination of normal values of the biochemical parameters [5].

*Statistical analysis.* Shapiro–Wilk test and distribution plots were used to test distribution normality. For data that did not meet normality criteria, median and interquartile ranges were displayed.

Two-sample Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used to evaluate variable medians. Descriptive statistics of continuous variables were presented as means  $\pm$  standard deviation (S.D.) and compared by repeated measures analysis of variance (RMANOVA). Values of p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using Microsoft excel and STATA 10.00 for Windows.

### Results

# Clinical characteristics.

The 44 patients in the study (31 men and 13 women) [age mean 43.3 years (range, 41-81 years)] were randomized to receive nebivolol (n = 21) or carvedilol (n = 23), fig. 1. Characteristics of the patients prior to the treatment with carvedilol and nevibolol are shown in table 1. No significant clinical differences were found between study groups. Additionally, levels of the BP modulators renin, aldosterone, ET-1, homocysteine, folic acid and vitamin B12 were not significantly different between groups (table 1).

# Final evaluation.

Clinical characteristics of EH patients treated with either nebivolol or carvedilol did not show significant differences (table 2). Patients treated with nebivolol or carvedilol showed comparable systolic BP reductions of 17.4 and 19.9 mmHg, respectively. This BP reduction was significant compared with baseline values in both groups (p < 0.01) (fig. 2A). Nebivolol and carvedilol induced also a significant diastolic BP reduction in relation to basal values (p < 0.01), which values were 13.7 and 12.8 mmHg, respectively (fig. 2B). Treatments with carvedilol and nebivolol did not produce any serious adverse effects during the 3 months of treatment.

### Determination of endothelial function.

The endothelial function was measured by echocardiography as described in the Materials and Methods section. There were no

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients before treatment with carvedilol and nebivolol

|                              | Carvedilol $(n = 23)$ | Nebivolol $(n = 21)$ | <i>p</i> -value |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Age, years                   | $45.6 \pm 2.8$        | $44.9 \pm 2.1$       | 0.27            |
| Sex (male/female)            | 45.0 ± 2.8<br>16/7    | 15/6                 | 0.27            |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )     | 27.6 (6.2)            | 26.7 (4.7)           | 0.30            |
| Cardiac frequency            | 62 (8)                | 71 (5)               | 0.32            |
| (beats/min.)                 | 02 (8)                | /1 (3)               | 0.37            |
| Left ventricular             | $56.4 \pm 10.8$       | $54.1 \pm 7.9$       | 0.61            |
| ejection fraction, %         |                       |                      |                 |
| Left ventricular             | $83.08\pm6.4$         | $85.22\pm88$         | 0.65            |
| mass (g/m <sup>2</sup> )     |                       |                      |                 |
| Serum glucose (mM)           | $4.87 \pm 0.13$       | $5.18 \pm 0.17$      | 0.29            |
| Creatinine (µM)              | $76.3 \pm 2.70$       | $75.9 \pm 2.71$      | 0.55            |
| Total cholesterol (mM)       | $4.78 \pm 0.25$       | $4.64 \pm 0.31$      | 0.59            |
| HDL-cholesterol (mM)         | $1.21\pm0.03$         | $1.28\pm0.05$        | 0.47            |
| LDL-cholesterol (mM)         | $2.87 \pm 0.24$       | $2.76\pm0.34$        | 0.68            |
| Serum triacylglycerols (mM)  | $1.52\pm0.18$         | $1.35 \pm 0.17$      | 0.81            |
| Renin activity(pM/hr)        | $23.5 \pm 1.9$        | $22.9 \pm 2.1$       | 0.43            |
| Aldosterone (nM)             | $0.25 \pm 0.06$       | $0.21 \pm 0.05$      | 0.82            |
| Homocysteine (µM)            | $9.07 \pm 0.47$       | $9.27 \pm 0.41$      | 0.44            |
| Folic acid (nM)              | $46.7 \pm 1.15$       | $45.8 \pm 1.19$      | 0.49            |
| Vitamin B <sub>12</sub> (pM) | $248.3 \pm 6.3$       | $233.5 \pm 7.9$      | 0.58            |
| Daytime                      |                       |                      |                 |
| SBP (mmHg)                   | $139 \pm 5.1$         | $141 \pm 6.3$        | < 0.01          |
| DBP (mmHg)                   | $97.3 \pm 6.6$        | $98.7 \pm 5.2$       | < 0.01          |
| MAP (mmHg)                   | $105.3 \pm 3.2$       | $103.6 \pm 7.1$      | < 0.01          |
|                              |                       |                      |                 |

HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; MAP, median blood pressure.

Quantitative continuous variables are expressed as median (interquartile range) or mean  $\pm$  S.D. if presented normal distribution.

differences in basal values of brachial diameter between groups in basal and after treatments (fig. 3A). Nebivolol and carvedilol show 7.3% and 8.1% higher endothelium-dependent dilatation of peripheral arteries produced by reactive hyperaemia (p < 0.05), as can be seen in fig. 3B. However, the maximum dilatation obtained with nitroglycerine-mediated dilatation was similar in both groups of patients. These results are shown in fig. 3C.

# Antioxidant status, oxidative stress-related parameters and estimation of nitric oxide concentration.

Patients treated with carvedilol show 28.1% and 23.6% lower levels of 8-isoprostane and erythrocyte MDA compared with basal values, respectively (p < 0.05). No significant differences were observed in lipid peroxidation levels in patients treated with nebivolol (fig. 4A,B). FRAP and GSH/GSSH ratio show 31.5% and 29.6% higher levels in the carvedilol group compared with basal values; however, nebivolol-treated patients did not show significant differences after treatment (p < 0.05) (fig. 4C, D). Additionally, NO<sub>2</sub> concentration was not changed by the administration of carvedilol but nebivolol showed 62.1% higher levels of NO<sub>2</sub> after treatment (p < 0.05). These changes are shown in fig. 4E.

The values of oxidative stress parameters between the two groups and normotensive patients are shown in table 3. Hypertensive patients prior to treatment with carvedilol or nebivolol show higher values of lipid peroxidation and lower antioxidant status values (FRAP and ratio GSH/GSSG)

 Table 2.

 Clinical parameters in the carvedilol and nebivolol group after treatment.

|   | Carvedilol $(n = 23)$ | Nebivolol $(n = 21)$ | <i>p</i> -value |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Age, years                                | $45.6 \pm 2.8$        | 44.9 ± 2.1           | 0.27            |
| Sex (male/female)                         | 16/7                  | 15/6                 | 0.50            |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )                  | 24.6 (4.2)            | 25.7 (3.9)           | 0.12            |
| Cardiac frequency<br>(beats/min.)         | 66 (8)                | 71 (5)               | 0.47            |
| Left ventricular ejection fraction, %     | 58.3 ± 11.7           | 56.7 ± 9.7           | 0.71            |
| Left ventricular mass (g/m <sup>2</sup> ) | $93.08 \pm 7.4$       | $87.22 \pm 10.1$     | 0.55            |
| Serum glucose (mM)                        | $5.17\pm0.18$         | $5.08 \pm 0.21$      | 0.32            |
| Creatinine (µM)                           | $79.2 \pm 2.3$        | $80.9 \pm 2.71$      | 0.63            |
| Total cholesterol (mM)                    | $4.78 \pm 0.25$       | $4.47 \pm 0.37$      | 0.59            |
| HDL-cholesterol (mM)                      | $1.17\pm0.03$         | $1.28 \pm 0.05$      | 0.43            |
| LDL-cholesterol (mM)                      | $2.85\pm0.14$         | $2.66\pm0.24$        | 0.78            |
| Serum triacylglycerols (mM)               | $1.54\pm0.08$         | $1.34 \pm 0.07$      | 0.74            |
| Renin activity (pM/hr)                    | $22.7 \pm 2.3$        | $20.9 \pm 1.8$       | 0.37            |
| Aldosterone (nM)                          | $0.26 \pm 0.04$       | $0.24 \pm 0.07$      | 0.92            |
| Homocysteine (µM)                         | $9.12\pm0.51$         | $9.33 \pm 0.41$      | 0.74            |
| Folic acid (nM)                           | $45.3 \pm 1.12$       | $44.8 \pm 1.1$       | 0.39            |
| Vitamin B <sub>12</sub> (pM)              | $238.3\pm7.3$         | $223.5\pm7.7$        | 0.48            |

HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; MAP, median blood pressure.

Quantitative continuous variables are expressed as median (interquartile range) or mean  $\pm$  S.D. if presented normal distribution.

compared with normotensive patients with similar clinical characteristics.

#### Discussion

The main finding of this study confirms that the antihypertensive effect of both drugs is associated with different mechanisms. While nebivolol could induce vasodilatation by nitric oxide-dependent pathway [32, 33], carvedilol shows significant antioxidant properties [34]. In accordance with the pharmacodynamic profiles of carvedilol and nebivolol, we found a comparable reduction in systolic and diastolic BP with the doses used (fig. 2), these findings are consistent with previous studies [35, 36].

Endothelial function represents a valuable surrogate endpoint to assess the impact of therapeutic interventions and at the same time provides an important opportunity to develop new therapeutic approaches [37]. Carvedilol and nebivolol increase the response to endothelial-dependent vasodilatation; after 3 months of treatment, their effect was not modified by nitroglycerine (fig 3A–C). These findings are in agreement with the effect of carvedilol in the reduction in systolic BP and diastolic BP in patients with EH and coronary artery disease [38], and with other reports which found that nebivolol significantly increased forearm vasodilatation in patients with moderate hypertension [39–41].

The result of this study supports the evidence that these beta-blockers have additional properties, exceeding merely beta receptor block. These features may provide additional benefit in the treatment of hypertension. The third-generation drug nebivolol exerts a nitric oxide-mediated vasodilating activity, which has positive effects on intima and media



Fig. 2. Graphs representing daytime SBP (A) and DBP (B) in patients before and after being treated with carvedilol (n = 23) and nebivolol (n = 21). Data presented as mean  $\pm$  S.D. Significant differences (p < 0.05): <sup>†</sup>*versus* before treatment.

thickness and arterial rigidity, a major risk factor in cardiovascular disease. Moreover, anti-proliferative, anti-inflammatory and antioxidative properties have been detected after carvedilol and nebivolol administration, contributing to additional value in the treatment of hypertension [42]. In this study, oxidative stress-related parameters were assessed to evaluate the pleiotropic effect of carvedilol and nebivolol to improve endothelial function. Only after administration of carvedilol, patients showed lower levels with F2-isoprostane and erythrocyte MDA (fig. 4A,B), and higher levels of FRAP and GSH/GSSG ratio (fig. 4C,D) consistent with a minor systemic oxidative stress at the end of treatment, compared with patients who received nebivolol. These findings are in agreement with previous results showing that carvedilol has antioxidant properties, which may provide vascular protection by improving endothelial function, focusing its effect on scavenger properties and decreasing endothelial production of ROS [43]. On the other hand, estimated plasma levels of nitric oxide were not changed by carvedilol and were significantly increased by nebivolol (fig. 4E). The lack of effect of carvedilol is concordant with previous reports [44, 45]. Nevertheless, some preclinical studies have found that carvedilol produced a decrease in BP by increasing plasma nitric oxide levels [46]. On the other hand, nebivolol stimulates endothelial nitric oxide synthesis, thereby increasing the availability of nitric oxide in the endothelium, smooth muscle and platelets, and consequently



Fig. 3. Graphs representing endothelial function evaluated in dilatation in (A) basal conditions; (B) measurement of flow-mediated dilatation (FMD) and (C) nitroglycerine-mediated dilatation (NMD), in patients before and after treatment with carvedilol (n = 23) and nebivolol (n = 21). Data presented as mean  $\pm$  S.D. Significant differences (p < 0.05): <sup>†</sup>*versus* before treatment.

producing a sustained vasodilation with decreases in peripheral resistance and BP [47]. These described properties are in agreement with the results of the present study.

The increased oxidative stress and decreased antioxidant capacity found in patients with EH at baseline, compared with normotensive patients (table 3) is in agreement with previous studies [48,49]. However, Cracowski and Durand [50] found no significant differences in urinary 8-isoprostane levels between normotensive patients and patients with untreated mild-to-moderate EH, at least in the early stages of the disease. This controversy may be explained by patient inclusion criteria, which did not consider the influence of factors such as physical activity, previous and/or current antioxidant supplementation, high consumption of fruits and vegetables and/or intake of non-antihypertensive (e.g. statins) medication,



Fig. 4. Graphs representing oxidative stress-related parameters and estimation of nitric oxide levels in blood samples of protocol patients: (A) isorpostane; (B) malondialdehyde (MDA); (C) antioxidant capacity ferric-reducing ability of plasma (FRAP); (D) erythrocyte reduced glutathione (GSH)/oxidized glutathione(GSSG) and (E) and nitrite (NO<sub>2</sub>) levels, in patients before and after treatment with carvedilol (n = 23) and nebivolol (n = 21). Data presented as mean  $\pm$  S.D. Significant differences (p < 0.05):  $\dagger$  versus before treatment.

*Table 3.* Plasma and erythrocyte oxidative stress-related parameters in the carvedilol and nebivolol group compared with normotensive patients before treatment (basal samples).

|                       | Normotensive $(n = 30)$ | Carvedilol $(n = 23)$ | Nebivolol $(n = 21)$ | <i>p</i> -value |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Plasma                |                         |                       |                      |                 |
| FRAP (µM)             | $426 \pm 17$            | $311 \pm 8*$          | $308.7 \pm 7*$       | < 0.01          |
| 8-Isoprostane<br>(pM) | $75.7\pm4.1$            | 107.3 ± 3.3*          | $109.3 \pm 4.2*$     | < 0.01          |
| Erythrocyte           |                         |                       |                      |                 |
| GSH/GSSG<br>ratio     | $5.98 \pm 0.15$         | $5.17 \pm 0.11*$      | $5.21\pm0.18*$       | < 0.01          |
| MDA<br>(nmol/g Hb)    | 311 ± 7                 | 383 ± 12*             | 390 ± 12*            | < 0.01          |

FRAP, ferric-reducing ability of plasma; GSH, reduced glutathione; GSSG, oxidized glutathione; MDA, malondialdehyde.

Variables are expressed as median  $\pm$  S.D.

\*Significant differences versus normotensive group.

all potential confounders of oxidative stress status. The present study considered these factors to obtain a rigorously selected sample of patients to specifically examine the antioxidant effect on BP. The results of the present study have shown a significant decrease in systolic and diastolic BP, after administration of carvedilol or nebivolol in patients with EH compared with their baseline (fig. 2), and these findings are in agreement with other studies [16, 48, 49].

In conclusion, as part of the antihypertensive mechanism of these beta-blockers, nebivolol increases nitric oxide bioavailability while carvedilol seems to reinforce the antioxidant system. However, further studies are needed to determine the precise molecular mechanism of their respective effects.

### Acknowledgements

We thank the Fondo Nacional de Investigación Científica y Tecnológica and the Comisión Nacional de Investigación Científica y Tecnológica (FONDECYT; grant number 1070948), the Chilean Society of Cardiology Grant and Roche and Menarini laboratories. The technical assistance of Mr Diego Soto and the personnel from the Cardiovascular Department at the Universidad de Chile Clinical Hospital are also acknowledged.

# Conflict of Interest

None of the authors or cooperative members have a proprietary, commercial or any other financial interest in any study procedure or result.

### References

- 1 Miyajima K, Minatoguchi S, Ito Y, Hukunishi M, Matsuno Y, Kakami M *et al.* Reduction of QTc dispersion by the angiotensin II receptor blocker valsartan may be related to its anti-oxidative stress effect in patients with essential hypertension. Hypertens Res 2007;**30**:307–13.
- 2 Waeber B, Brunner HR; Joint National Committee in the US (JNC-VI); World Health Organization – International Society of Hypertension (WHO-ISH). The multi-factorial nature of hypertension: the greatest challenge for its treatment? J Hypertens Suppl 2001;19:S9–16.
- 3 Ferroni P, Basili S, Paoletti V, Davì G. Endothelial dysfunction and oxidative stress in arterial hypertension. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis 2006;16:222–33.
- 4 Plante GE. Vascular response to stress in health and disease. Metabolism 2002;**51**:25–30.
- 5 Rodrigo R, Passalacqua W, Araya J, Orellana M, Rivera G. Implications of oxidative stress and homocysteine in the pathophysiology of essential hypertension. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 2003;42:453–61.
- 6 Yarlioglues M, Kaya MG, Ardic I, Dogdu O, Kasapkara HA, Gunturk E *et al.* Relationship between mean platelet volume levels and subclinical target organ damage in newly diagnosed hypertensive patients. Blood Press 2011;20:92–7.
- 7 Tomiyama H, Higashi Y, Takase B, Node K, Sata M, Inoue T *et al.* Relationships among hyperuricemia, metabolic syndrome, and endothelial function. Am J Hypertens 2011;**24**:770–4.
- 8 Syvänen K, Korhonen P, Partanen A, Aarnio P. Endothelial function in a cardiovascular risk population with borderline anklebrachial index. Vasc Health Risk Manag 2011;7:97–101.
- 9 Tycinska AM, Mroczko B, Musial WJ, Sawicki R, Kaminski K, Borowska H et al. Blood pressure in relation to neurogenic, inflammatory and endothelial dysfunction biomarkers in patients with treated essential arterial hypertension. Adv Med Sci 2011;56:80–7.
- 10 Ignarro LJ, Napoli C. Novel features of nitric oxide, endothelial nitric oxide synthase, and atherosclerosis. Curr Diab Rep 2005; 5:17–23.
- Hermann M, Flammer A, Lüscher TF. Nitric oxide in hypertension. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich) 2006;8:17–29.
- 12 Spieker LE, Flammer AJ, Lüscher TF. The vascular endothelium in hypertension. Handb Exp Pharmacol 2006;**176**:249–83.
- 13 Zeng C, Villar VA, Yu P, Zhou L, Jose PA. Reactive oxygen species and dopamine receptor function in essential hypertension. Clin Exp Hypertens 2009;31:156–78.
- 14 Cockcroft J. Nebivolol: a review. Expert Opin Pharmacother 2004; 5:893–9.
- 15 Papademetriou V. Comparison of Nebivolol monotherapy versus Nebivolol in combination with other antihypertensive therapies for the treatment of hypertension. Am J Cardiol 2009;103:273–8.
- 16 Baldwin CM, Keam SJ. Nebivolol: in the treatment of hypertension in the US. Am J Cardiovasc Drugs 2009;9:253–60.
- 17 Greathouse M. Nebivolol efficacy and safety in patients with stage I–II hypertension. Clin Cardiol 2010;**33**:20–7.
- 18 Virdis A, Ghiadoni L, Taddei S. Effects of antihypertensive treatment on endothelial function. Curr Hypertens Rep 2011;13:276–81.
- 19 Bogaard HJ, Natarajan R, Mizuno S, Abbate A, Chang PJ, Chau VQ *et al.* Adrenergic receptor blockade reverses right heart remodeling and dysfunction in pulmonary hypertensive rats. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2010;**182**:652–60.
- 20 Kametani R, Miura T, Harada N, Shibuya M, Wang R, Tan H et al. Carvedilol inhibits mitochondrial oxygen consumption and superoxide production during calcium overload in isolated heart mitochondria. Circ J 2006;70:321–6.
- 21 Mason RP, Giles TD, Sowers JR. Evolving mechanisms of action of beta blockers: focus on nebivolol. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 2009;54:123–8.

- 22 Ram CV. Beta-blockers in hypertension. Am J Cardiol 2010; 106:1819–25.
- 23 O'Brien E, Mee F, Atkins N, O'Malley K. Accuracy of the SpaceLabs 90207 determined by the British Hypertension Society protocol. J Hypertens 1991;9:573–4.
- 24 Groppelli A, Omboni S, Parati G, Mancia G. Evaluation of noninvasive blood pressure monitoring devices Spacelabs 90202 and 90207 versus resting and ambulatory 24-hour intra-arterial blood pressure. Hypertension 1992;20:227–32.
- 25 Moriguchi J, Itoh H, Harada S, Takeda K, Hatta T, Nakata T *et al.* Low frequency regular exercise improves flow-mediated dilatation of subjects with mild hypertension. Hypertens Res 2005;**28**: 315–21.
- 26 Ito H, Nagatomo Y, Kohno T, Anzai T, Meguro T, Ogawa S et al. Differential effects of carvedilol and metoprolol on renal function in patients with heart failure. Circ J 2010;74:1578–83.
- 27 Bennett BM, Kobus SM, Brien JF, Nakatsu K, Marks GS. Requirement for reduced, unliganded hemoprotein for the hemoglobin- and myoglobin-mediated biotransformation of glyceryl trinitrate. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1986;237:629–35.
- 28 Benzie IF, Strain JJ. The ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP) as a measure of 'antioxidant power': the FRAP assay. Anal Biochem 1996;239:70–6.
- 29 Hissin PJ, Hilf R. A fluorometric method for determination of oxidized and reduced glutathione in tissues. Anal Biochem 1976; 74:214–26.
- 30 Ohkawa H, Ohishi N, Yagi K. Assay for lipid peroxides in animal tissues by thiobarbituric acid reaction. Anal Biochem 1979;95: 351–8.
- 31 Milne GL, Musiek ES, Morrow JD. F2-isoprostanes as markers of oxidative stress in vivo: an overview. Biomarkers 2005;10:10–23.
- 32 Dawes M, Brett SE, Chowienczyk PJ, Mant TG, Ritter JM. The vasodilator action of nebivolol in forearm vasculature of subjects with essential hypertension. Br J Clin Pharmacol 1999; 48:460–3.
- 33 Parenti A, Filippi S, Amerini S, Granger HJ, Fazzini A, Ledda F. Inositol phosphate metabolism and nitric-oxide synthase activity in endothelial cells are involved in the vasorelaxant activity of nebivolol. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2000;292:698–703.
- 34 Dandona P, Ghanim H, Brooks DP. Antioxidant activity of carvedilol in cardiovascular disease. J Hypertens 2007;25:731–41.
- 35 Aronow WS. Current role of beta-blockers in the treatment of hypertension. Expert Opin Pharmacother 2010;11:2599–607.
- 36 Weber MA, Sica DA, Tarka EA, Iyengar M, Fleck R, Bakris GL. Controlled-release carvedilol in the treatment of essential hypertension. Am J Cardiol 2006;98:32L–8L.
- 37 Landmesser U, Drexler H. The clinical significance of endothelial dysfunction. Curr Opin Cardiol 2005;20:547–51.
- 38 Matsuda Y, Akita H, Terashima M, Shiga N, Kanazawa K, Yokoyama M. Carvedilol improves endothelium-dependent dilatation in patients with coronary artery disease. Am Heart J 2000;140: 753–9.
- 39 Tzemos N, Lim PO, MacDonald TM. Nebivolol reverses endothelial dysfunction in essential hypertension: a randomized, doubleblind, crossover study. Circulation 2001;104:511–4.
- 40 Pasini AF, Garbin U, Stranieri C, Boccioletti V, Mozzini C, Manfro S *et al*.Nebivolol treatment reduces serum levels of asymmetric dimethylarginine and improves endothelial dysfunction in essential hypertensive patients. Am J Hypertens 2008;**21**:1251–7.
- 41 Schmidt AC, Graf C, Brixius K, Scholze J. Blood pressure-lowering effect of nebivolol in hypertensive patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: the YESTONO study. Clin Drug Investig 2007;27:841–9.
- 42 Wehland M, Grosse J, Simonsen U, Infanger M, Bauer J, Grimm D. The effects of newer beta-adrenoceptor antagonists on vascular function in cardiovascular disease. Curr Vasc Pharmacol 2012; 10:378–90.

# 316

- 43 Fahlbusch SA, Tsikas D, Mehls C, Gutzki FM, Boger RH, Frolich JC *et al*.Effects of carvedilol on oxidative stress in human endothelial cells and healthy volunteers. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2004;60: 83–8.
- 44 Xiaozhen H, Yun Z, Mei Z, Yu S. Effect of carvedilol on coronary flow reserve in patients with hypertensive left-ventricular hypertrophy. Blood Press 2010;19:40–7.
- 45 Manrique C, Giles TD, Ferdinand KC, Sowers JR. Realities of newer beta-blockers for the management of hypertension. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich) 2009;11:369–75.
- 46 Afonso RA, Patarrao RS, Macedo MP, Carmo MM. Carvedilol action is dependent on endogenous production of nitric oxide. Am J Hypertens 2006;19:419–25.
- 47 Ignarro LJ. Different pharmacological properties of two enantiomers in a unique beta-blocker, nebivolol. Cardiovasc Ther 2008; 26:115–34.
- 48 Russo C, Olivieri O, Girelli D, Faccini G, Zenari ML, Lombardi S. Anti-oxidant status and lipiperoxidation in patients with essential hypertension. J Hypertens 1998;16:1267–71.
- 49 Rodrigo R, Bachler JP, Araya J, Prat H, Passalacqua W. Relationship between (Na+K)-ATPase activity, lipid peroxidation and fatty acid profile in erythrocytes of hypertensive and normotensive subjects. Mol Cell Biochem 2007;**303**:73–81.
- 50 Cracowski JL, Durand T. Cardiovascular pharmacology and physiology of the isoprostanes. Fundam Clin Pharmacol 2006;20: 417–27.